

REVISED PUBLIC REPORT

Part 1 - Corporation details

Period to which the report relates

Start Period 1 January 2013

End Period

31 Dec 2013

Controlling corporation

Insert the name of the controlling corporation exactly as it is registered with the EEO Program.

Myer Holdings Ltd

Table 1.1 - Major changes to corporate group structure or operations

Table 1.1 – Major changes to corporate group structure or operations in the last 12 months

No major changes to structure or operations. Dandenong store closed in October 2013, and Shellharbour and Townsville stores opened in the period, resulting in a total portfolio of 67 stores, 4 distribution centres and the Myer Store Support Office in Melbourne.

Declaration

Declaration of accuracy and compliance

The information included in this report has been reviewed and noted by the board of directors and is to the best of my knowledge, correct and in accordance with the *Energy Efficiency Opportunities Act 2006* and *Energy Efficiency Opportunities Regulations 2006*. All opportunities have been assessed to a level of accuracy that is commensurate with the financial investment required for implementation.



Bernie Brookes

Chief Executive Officer and Managing Director

Date 17/12/2013

Part 2 - Assessment outcomes

It is compulsory to complete Tables 2.1 to 2.3 for each entity (subsidiary, business unit, key activity or site) that has been assessed.

Table 2.1 – Assessment details

Name of entity		Myer Holdings Ltd		
A.	Total corporate energy use in the last financial year		741,761	GJ
B.	Total energy use covered by assessments		737,964	GJ
C.	Total percentage of energy use assessed $(B \div A) \times 100$		99.5	%

Description of the way in which the entity carried out its assessment:

For this second cycle of its EEO program, Myer has committed to a whole-of-business approach to identifying and implementing energy efficiency opportunities. To facilitate and embed this into the business, a whole-of-business Energy Management Strategy has been developed, with a target energy intensity reduction of 10-15% by 2018. This Strategy provides a framework for the identification, validation and prioritisation of energy efficiency opportunities, their implementation, measurement and reporting on outcomes. In developing our assessment approach, a comprehensive matrix of site-specific characteristics that impact on energy use and efficiency was developed, in order to allow Myer to identify appropriately representative sites for energy efficiency assessment.

In January 2013, Myer undertook energy assessments of its portfolio of sites to identify energy efficiency opportunities through the following key activities:

- 1) Myer site characteristics matrix assessment – identifying key energy impacting site characteristics of sites across the portfolio.
- 2) Representative site energy audits - two level 1 and four level 2 audits were undertaken of strategically selected sites to address certain characteristics.
- 3) Industry benchmark comparisons.
- 4) Development of energy efficiency opportunities, including matrix analysis to determine which sites across the portfolio can benefit from these opportunities.
- 5) Scoping and costing of energy efficiency opportunities.

Table 2.2 - Energy efficiency opportunities identified in the assessment

Status of opportunities identified		Total Number of opportunities	Total estimated energy savings per annum (GJ)
Business response	Implemented	3	2,058
	Implementation commenced	2	300
	To be implemented	3	13,890
	Under investigation	3	30,903
	Not to be implemented	0	-
Outcomes of assessment	Total identified	11	47,151

Please note that corporate groups are not required to report opportunities with a payback greater than four years. Reporting this data is voluntary.

Table 2.3 - Details of significant opportunities identified in the assessment

It is compulsory to report at least 1 example of a significant opportunity for improving the energy efficiency for the controlling corporation that has been identified in assessments. If a corporation has structured assessments to relate to business units or key activities they should report one significant opportunity for each of those entities to which the assessment applies.

Description of opportunity No. 1	Type of information to be covered
Proof of Concept – Warringah store Building Management System (BMS) and Heating Cooling and Ventilation (HVAC) upgrade.	Equipment type
Installation of new BMS system and repair and upgrade of HVAC equipment, with efficient settings for lighting and HVAC operations. Expected annual energy savings of 1,186 GJ and \$61,356, resulting in a payback of 0-2 years.	Business response
Opportunity implemented in July 2013.	Energy saved (GJ)
	Greenhouse gas abated (CO2-e)
	\$ saved
	Payback period

Description of opportunity No. 2 – voluntary	Type of information to be covered
Proof of Concept – Macquarie BMS and HVAC upgrade.	Equipment type
Installation of new BMS system and repair and upgrade of HVAC equipment, with efficient settings for lighting and HVAC operations. Expected annual energy savings of 872 GJ and \$44,075, resulting in a payback of 2- 4 years.	Business response
Opportunity implemented in July 2013.	Energy saved (GJ)
	Greenhouse gas abated (CO2-e)
	\$ saved
	Payback period

Description of opportunity No 3 – voluntary	Type of information to be covered
Next 5 top priority stores BMS and HVAC upgrades.	Equipment type
Installation of new BMS system and repair and upgrade of HVAC equipment, with efficient settings for lighting and HVAC operations. Expected total annual energy savings of 4,639 GJ and \$234,660, resulting in a payback of 2-4 years.	Business response
Opportunity planned for implementation in 2014.	Energy saved (GJ)
	Greenhouse gas abated (CO2-e)
	\$ saved
	Payback period

Please note that the *Description of opportunity* above should include information on the specific nature and type of opportunity as well as information on the type of equipment and/or process involved.

Part 3 - Transition to second cycle

Table 3a – Details of business response to opportunities under investigation as at 30 June 2012

In December 2012, many corporations reported energy efficiency opportunities that were still under investigation as at 30 June 2012. This report should advise what your business response to these opportunities has been—implemented or not to be implemented. If you intend to further investigate these opportunities, they should be reported in the future public reports as opportunities identified in the second cycle.

For each entity that had energy efficiency opportunities that were still under investigation as at 30 June 2012, please complete the following table.

Name of entity		Estimated energy savings per annum by payback period (GJ)								Total estimated energy savings per annum (GJ)
Status of opportunities identified to an accuracy of <u>better than</u> or equal to $\pm 30\%$		Total number of opportunities	0–2 years		2–4 years		> 4 years			
			No of Opps	GJ	No of Opps	GJ	No of Opps	GJ		
As reported in December 2012	Under investigation	0							0	
Business response as at 30 June 2013	Implemented	0							0	
	Not to be Implemented	0							0	
	To be evaluated/reported in the second cycle	0							0	